# GB rail: better services, better journeys and better value 

Dataset on industry finances and performance 1997-98 - 2013-14

## Summary

- A huge increase in passenger journeys and freight carried, combined with increasing industry efficiency and highly competitive freight and franchising markets, is transforming the finances of the railway.
- The passenger network now generates enough income ( $£ 9.5 \mathrm{bn}$ ) to cover its day-to-day operating costs, while Government support ( $£ 3.8 \mathrm{bn}$ ) is helping to fund Network Rail’s ambitious capital programme.
- The surplus generated from train companies has more than tripled since 1997-98 in real terms (from $£ 0.68$ bn to $£ 2.51$ bn). The amount of this surplus returned to government has risen by $£ 1.88$ bn, or almost six-fold, from $£ 0.40$ bn to $£ 2.28$ bn.
- At the same time, train company operating margins as a share of revenue were $2.3 \%$ in 201213 - compared to $3.6 \%$ in 1997-98. In real terms, train company profits have declined slightly from $£ 0.28$ bn to $£ 0.24$ bn. Taken together with the previous point, this shows how effective franchising has been in capturing value for the taxpayer.
- Across a range of indicators including safety, customer satisfaction, number of services and size of fleet, the railway has improved significantly since 1997-98.
- Against a backdrop of declining government funding and the price of rail travel remaining almost static in real terms, the industry is carrying more passengers punctually on one of Europe's busiest railways.


## Background

- For the third year running, the rail industry has produced data on its performance and finances. In January 2013, the Association of Train Companies (ATOC) commissioned KPMG to collate the publicly-available industry data on passenger rail operations and analyse the key aspects of performance in the period since the introduction of franchising.
- ATOC published its report, Growth and prosperity: how franchising helped transform the railway into a British success story, in July 2013, which was based upon that dataset. In May 2014, the Rail Delivery Group (RDG) subsequently updated the data underpinning ATOC's report and extended the analysis to infrastructure and freight. Some of the data around infrastructure was provided directly by Network Rail and hence is not publicly available.
- This year, RDG commissioned KPMG again to update its 2014 work to include the latest data and to extend the analysis in certain areas. This dataset contains that updated data.
- Wherever possible data is presented from 1997/98 (the first full year of privatised rail operations) through to 2013/14. However, in a number of cases, this has not been possible
- Each graph or table is clearly labelled with its source. All prices are indexed to March 2014 unless stated otherwise


## Better value for rail users - rail industry financials

## Passenger services - journey growth



Source: National Rail Trends

Passenger numbers have more than doubled since 1996-97. Since 1997-98, annual growth in journeys has averaged $4 \%$, compared to $0.4 \%$ in the previous 17 year period, a tenfold increase in the growth rate.

## Growth - goods carried by rail freight

Before privatisation the rail freight industry was in steady decline


Source: National Rail Trends and Department for Transport

Privatisation and market forces have helped reverse this trend and driven growth of over 70\% in freight volumes since mid-nineties.

## Comparison of growth with European peers

Comparative journey growth, UK and European networks


Source: UIC Rail ISA and Eurostat databases

Comparative freight growth, UK and European networks


Source: Eurostat database

Since 1997-98, UK passenger growth has outstripped state-operated European comparators. Journeys per head of population in the UK has grown at more than twice the rate seen in France and Germany. UK freight has also outperformed comparators, except in Germany where the regulatory environment is more favourable (e.g. lorries are not permitted on roads on Sundays).

## Price - average price per passenger mile

Average price per passenger mile

| Average price paid per passenger mile |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 prices | $1997-98$ | $2013-14$ | \% Change |
| Pence per mile | 20.7 p | $22.1 p$ | $6.7 \%$ |

[^0]

Source: Lennon data

In real terms, the average price paid per passenger mile (yield) has increased by 6.7\% since 1997-98. This is significantly lower than reported headline increases in fares and reflects passengers purchasing more discounted tickets. Whilst Standard Season yields have increased slightly in real terms over the period as a result of government fares policy, yields from other ticket types in 2014 were at the same level as in 2003 in real terms.

## Sales - fares revenue and volume of tickets

REVENUE

Passenger revenue split by regular and discounted fares


Revenue from Advance Purchase and Off-peak tickets and from journeys using a Railcard (2014 prices)


## J O U R N E Y S

Passenger journeys split by regular and discounted fares


Passenger journeys made from Advance Purchase and Off-peak tickets and from journeys using a Railcard


Source: Lennon data
Note: Regular defined as Anytime and Seasons. Other revenue, e.g. car parking and railcards, together with refunds, has been excluded
Nearly half of all passenger revenue comes from discounted tickets, up from 38\% eight years ago, whilst the proportion of passenger journeys on discounted tickets has also increased. The revenue from, and number of journeys made, using Advance Purchase and Off-peak tickets has increased significantly.

## Costs - train company unit costs



Productivity of train company employees

| Ratio of employees to passenger journeys |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997-98 | 2013-14 | \% Change |
| Ratio | $1: 21,290$ | $1: 30,523$ | $+43 \%$ |

Source: Journeys from National Rail Trends, staff from train company accounts

Source: Passenger km from National Rail Trends, train company operating costs from Rail Industry Monitor / analysis of train company accounts for 1997/98 to 2010/11 and GB rail industry financials for 2011/12 to 2013/14

Since 1997-98, the first full year of passenger rail franchising, train company operating costs per passenger mile have declined by $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ in real terms whilst the number of passenger journeys made per train company employee has increased by $43 \%$.

## Freight companies efficiency

## Privatisation of rail freight has also helped drive improvements in freight efficiency.

Improved productivity of freight services


Source: National Rail Trends
Freight efficiency has improved due to a move towards longer and heavier trains. The number of freight trains run has fallen by approximately a third since 2002-3 but tonne miles have increased by $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ over the same period, a net increase of $79 \%$ in tonnes per train.

## Better value for taxpayers - rail industry financials

# Financial performance - analysis of industry revenues and costs 

Industry financials $£$ bn financial year ending March 2014


Source: ORR GB Rail Financial Information 2013-14 and National Rail Trends
Notes: NR revenue includes income from track access charges, traction electricity and stations, less charges paid to NR by train companies Network Rail unspent funds is money allocated to NR but not yet spent. All income and costs are pre tax.
$£ 9.5$ bn of revenue from passenger fares, other train company and NR commercial activity almost covers the day-to-day running of the railway. Government funding of $£ 3.8$ bn to Network Rail supports its capital programme to enhance the rail infrastructure. This is the same level of Government support for the railway as in 1994-95 in real terms.
Net direct government support to train companies has been transformed. In 2001-02, this support was $£ 1.5$ bn. In 2013-14 net government support was $£ 140 \mathrm{~m}$.

## Financial performance - coverage of network running costs by industry generated revenues



Source: ORR GB Rail Industry financials, analysis of train company accounts, NR Regulatory accounts and Railtrack 1997/98 annual accounts
Note that Industry-generated revenue = Passenger farebox + other train company revenue + NR single till income
Day-to-day industry running costs = train company costs (excluding track access charges and franchise premium) + NR operating and maintenance costs + train company profits
Since 1997-98, day-to-day industry costs have increasingly been covered by non-government revenues. Industry-generated revenue covered 99\% of industry running costs in 2013-14 compared with 72\% in 199798.

## Financial performance - train companies

| Aggregate train company-controlled costs and revenue - 2014 prices |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fbn | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | Change | \% | Change |
| Passenger revenue | 4.3 | 8.2 | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{+ 8 8 \%}$ |  |
| Other train company revenue | 0.6 | 0.8 | +0.2 | $\mathbf{+ 2 9 \%}$ |  |
| Total train company revenue | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{+ 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{+ 8 1 \%}$ |  |
| Staff costs ${ }^{1}$ | 1.4 | 2.5 | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{+ 7 8 \%}$ |  |
| Rolling stock leasing | 1.3 | 1.3 | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 0}$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Other operating costs ${ }^{2}$ | 1.6 | 2.7 | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{+ 7 0 \%}$ |  |
| Total train company-owned costs | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{+ 5 1 \%}$ |  |
| Surplus generated by train operations | $\mathbf{0 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 6 9 \%}$ |  |

[^1]Source: RDG analysis of train company accounts (1997-98), ORR and GB Rail Financial Information 2013-14
Note: These figures are before access charges are taken into account
The surplus generated by train operators has increased by $£ 1.8$ bn since $1997-98$, from $£ 0.7$ bn to $£ 2.5$ bn. $93 \%$ of the growth in revenue over this period has come from more passenger journeys, with just $7 \%$ the result of increased yield (i.e. increased revenue per journey). Slide 16 explains where this surplus goes.

## Financial performance - train company surpluses



Source: RDG analysis of train company accounts, ORR and GB Rail Financials 2013-14
In 2013-14, £2.28bn from train operations went back to government, $470 \%$ more than the $£ 0.4$ bn in 1997-98. Successive governments have chosen to reinvest these payments into the industry via Network Rail.

## Costs - infrastructure expenditure

Network Rail operating and maintenance expenditure, 2014 prices



Source: Network Rail Regulatory Accounts

The cost of running the railway (the amount NR spend on operations and maintenance) has, over the past ten years, been reduced by $32 \%$ through innovation, the introduction of new technology and in-sourcing some key activities. Investment by the government in the network on renewal and enhancement has on the other hand increased by $31 \%$ to respond to growth and demand.

## Costs - infrastructure per train mile



Source: Network Rail

Controllable operating costs have fallen $46 \%$ since 2003-04. Network Rail attributes this reduction primarily to the introduction of new technology, innovation and in-sourcing some key activities.

## Financial performance - government funding per passenger journey



Source: National Rail Trends
Note: This chart excludes government expenditure on and receipts from major projects (e.g. Crossrail); a grant to British Rail to finance its residual activities; proceeds from the sales of ROSCOs and British Rail non-passenger business in 1995-96 and 1996-97.

Government funding per journey fell between 1994-95 and 2000-01. Support increased post-Hatfield, peaking in 2006-07. Support has declined since to $£ 2.27$ per journey in 2013-14, 33\% lower than in 1997-98 (£3.38).

## Financial performance - analysis of Government funding per passenger journey



Source: NR Regulatory accounts and National Rail Trends
*Note: This is calculated as the sum of total government support to NR and track access charges paid to NR less NR's operating and maintenance spend
In the early 2000s, most of government's funding was to address the maintenance backlog on the "steady state" network inherited by Network Rail. Since 2006-07, the majority of the funding has been used to renew and expand the network.

## Financial performance - train company operating margins

Average train company operating margins


Note: From 1997/98 to 2010/11 margins quoted are per statutory accounts. Where necessary reported results are pro-rata to meet a 31 March y/e. Due to different year ends, 2012-13 is the latest year where accounts are available for all train companies
Source: Rail Industry Monitor / analysis of train company accounts for 1997/98 to 2010/11 and GB rail industry financials for 2011/12 to 2013/14
Operating margins as a share of revenue are at their lowest since franchising was introduced and are 36\% lower now than they were in 1997-98. The combination of competitive bidding and the prolonged impact of the recession means that margins since 2009-10 are lower than in 1997-98.

## Financial performance - freight companies revenue \& operating margins

Rail freight revenue by market segment (2013 prices)


Source: RDG report "Keeping the lights on and the traffic moving" May 2014

Rail freight operators have an annual turnover of over $£ 850 \mathrm{~m}$. Intense competition, both from roads and within the rail freight industry, has squeezed profits over recent years. The industry recorded profits before tax of $£ 27 \mathrm{~m}$ in 2012-13.

## Better services

## Safety



Source: ORR for historical data and RSSB Safety Management Information System (SMIS) for recent statistics

European comparison - workforce and passenger fatalities


Source: Eurostat. The data covers the five-year period 2007-2012. Figures are normalised by train kilometres. Only accidents relating to railway vehicles in motion are included. The chart covers 25 members of the EU; the other two member states, Malta and Cyprus, no longer have railways.

Safety has continued to improve. The UK now has the safest railway in Europe for passengers and the fewest train accidents or workforce on-board fatalities.

## Frequency and crowding of passenger services

|  | Trains per day |  | Off-peak hourly frequency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994 | 2014 | 1994 | 2014 |
| Manchester to London | 17 | 47 | 1 | 3 |
| Leeds to Edinburgh | 2 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
| London to Norwich | 19 | 36 | 1 | 2 |
| Leeds to London ${ }^{(1)}$ | 17 | 32 | 1 | 2 |
| Birmingham New St. to London ${ }^{(2)}$ | 31 | 48 | 2 | 3 |
| London to Sheffield | 15 | 31 | 1 | 2 |
| Bristol to London ${ }^{(3)}$ | 23 | 33 | 1 | 2 |
| Glasgow Queen Street to Edinburgh ${ }^{(4)}$ | 37 | 62 | 2 | 4 |
| Leeds to Huddersfield to Manchester ${ }^{(5)}$ | 48 | 80 | 3 | 5 |
| Cardiff to London | 22 | 29 | 1 | 2 |

Source: Network Rail
Note:
(1) Virgin East Coast only; excludes East Midlands Trains
(2) Virgin West Coast only; excludes London Midland
(3) Bristol Temple Meads only; excludes Bristol Parkway
(4) Express services via Falkirk only; excludes other routes
(5) Includes services to Manchester Victoria

| Number of planned services per year |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | \% Change |
| Services | 5.69 m | 7.29 m | $+28 \%$ |

Train miles up 39\% since privatisation, PiXC maintained at 1997-98 levels


Source: National Rail Trends, Department for Transport statistics
Note: Passengers in excess of capacity (PiXC) is the difference between the planned capacity of each national rail service arriving in London against the actual number of passengers (excluding first class) on the service at its most crowded point on the journey. PiXC applies to all London and South East operators' weekday train services arriving at a London terminus during the 3 -hour AM peak (07:00 and 09:59), and those departing during the 3 -hour PM peak (16:00 and 18:59). The overall PiXC is derived by combining both peaks.

Source: Network Rail
The rail network is being used much more intensively with a $28 \%$ increase in the number of services. This enabled significant journey growth to be delivered without an increase in the official measure of crowding.

## Rolling stock - passenger services

| Change in total fleet size |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total vehicles in passenger use | $1996-97$ | $2014-15$ | Growth |

Source: OPRAF Passenger Rail Industry Overview; NR/ ROSCO/ ATOC Long Term Passenger Rolling Stock Strategy for the Rail Industry


Source: National Rail Trends; RDG analysis Note: Data series only available from the year 2000-01

Rolling stock procurements have significantly increased fleet size. While the average age of rolling stock has increased since 2005-06 this trend will reverse during CP5 because of the c3,000 new electric vehicles due to be delivered including 2,250 by the Intercity Express, Thameslink and Crossrail programmes. This will both increase fleet size and decrease average rolling stock age.

## Punctuality of passenger services

Public Performance Measure (PPM, y/e March)


Source: Analysis from National Rail Trends

Source: National Rail Trends (PPM was first published in June 2000, but was calculated back to 1997-98)

In 2014-15, 89.7\% of trains arrived as planned (short distance services within 5 minutes of scheduled arrival time; long distances within 10 minutes). Over 700m more passenger journeys arrived as planned in 2014-15 compared to 1997-98.

## Freight delays

Investment by freight companies, Network Rail, Government and train companies has improved the performance of the rail network.


Source: Network Rail

Delay minutes suffered by freight companies has reduced by $\mathbf{2 5 . 4 \%}$ since 2004-05 against a backdrop of a busier network (driven by the increase in passenger services). Similarly, delay caused by freight companies has reduced $\mathbf{1 6 . 9 \%}$, in turn contributing to the improved performance by train companies.

## Capacity challenge - intensity of rail network usage



Source: Network Rail and National Rail Trends
The network is being used much more intensely with the number of planned train services increasing by 28\% since 1997/98. These additional services have, until recently, been accommodated without impacting performance. In 2014/15, 1.4m more trains arrived as planned compared to 1997/98.

## Capacity challenge - number of incidents and delay minutes per incidents



Source: Network Rail

The number of incidents of delay has been consistently falling and are now, on average, 40\% fewer than in 2006/07. However, delay minutes per incident is increasing as the knock-on impacts of incidents are exacerbated by congestion on a network that is being used more intensely than before.

## Capacity challenge - network usage at major commuter termini

2014/15 network usage


Source: www.bahn.de and www.realtimes.com
Note:

1) AM peak defined as 07:30 - 09:29 inclusive on a typical weekday
2) Only trains arriving at terminating platforms are included, with the exception of Hamburg Hbf where all platforms are through ones.
3) All S-Bahn trains and three AKN trains (a 'private operator') which use the S-Bahn platforms have been excluded for Hamburg
4) In the case of Zurich, trains arriving at the through platforms below ground and SZU railway (which is not operationally connected to the SBB station) have been excluded. 5) In the case of Frankfurt and Paris, trains using the through platforms underground and the 'Magenta' station which is not operationally connected and Eurostar arrivals have been excluded.
Compared to key stations in Italy, Germany, France and Switzerland, there are typically more trains arriving into key London commuter termini in the peak and the platforms are used more intensively

## Capacity challenge - intensity of usage on intercity routes



Source: GB working timetable, www.bahn.de and the Thomas Cook European timetable, summer 2014. Does not include freight traffic. Note that routes selected are only high speed services with speed above 140kph.

More passenger trains per hour (tph) operate on the WCML than comparable European railways, including purpose built high speed railways.

## Better journeys

## Industry indicators - passenger satisfaction

Trends in National Passenger Survey results

 く い
$\square \%$ satisfied or good' $\quad$ \% neither nor' $\quad$ \% dissatisfied or poor'
Source: National Rail Passenger Survey (started in Autumn 1999)

Customer satisfaction with ticket price value for money is greater outside the London commuter area where a smaller proportion of rail users are annual season ticket holders.

Spring 2015 NPS scores for Value for Money were 58\% for Regional and 59\% for Long Distance train companies, compared to $40 \%$ for LSE operators.

Annual-equivalent journeys rated 'satisfied' or 'good'

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 708 m | $1,340 \mathrm{~m}$ |

Source: Analysis of National Rail Trends and National Passenger Survey

Passengers rated 600 million more journeys as 'satisfactory' or 'good' in 2014-15 compared to 1999-2000. The percentage of dissatisfied passengers has halved from a 16\% peak in 2001 to 8\% in 2015.

## Industry Indicators - passenger complaints



Note that in 2001-02, a change in methodology by 3 regional operators caused an increase in complaints. A new method of recording telephone enquiries has been introduced by one of the regional operators post 2003-04
Source: Rail Complaints Bulletin, Report Number 3 published by ORR for 1998-99 and National Rail Trends for rest of the years
As passenger satisfaction increased over the decade to 2012, the rate of complaints fell significantly. Increased use of social media (e.g. Twitter) as an alternative mode of passenger complaints may have suppressed figures in recent years, but there is a trend of a continued decline in the rate of complaints.

## Service quality - customer satisfaction across Europe 2013



Compared to passengers in Germany, France and Netherlands, passengers in the UK are more satisfied with their rail journeys and stations.

## Passenger Services - analysis of demand drivers

The growth in journeys has out-performed key external demand drivers


Source: National Rail Trends; ONS - GDP, Q1 2015 Dataset

| Rail journeys per head of population | Annual journeys per capita |
| :--- | ---: |
| Year | 13.12 |
| $1981-82$ | 14.93 |
| $1997-98$ | 25.47 |
| $2013-14$ |  |

Source: National Rail Trends; ONS Mid-year population estimates


Source: National Rail Trends; AA motoring annual motoring cost reports (data represents a petrol car of $1,101-1,401 \mathrm{cc}$ price of $£ 13-£ 18 \mathrm{k}$ )

| Rail market share |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Rail journeys (billion passenger miles) | Market share |
| $1981-82$ | 18.5 | $6.0 \%$ |
| $1997-98$ | 21.6 | $4.7 \%$ |
| $2013-14$ | 37.3 | $7.8 \%$ |

Sources: RDG analysis, based on TSGB, NRT and BRB Annual Reports

Since 1997-98, journey growth has been more than double GDP growth and rail use per capita has increased $70 \%$. The change in price paid for rail travel and motoring costs over the time period is broadly similar across modes, notwithstanding divergences in the two trends at times and the 2013-14 dip in motoring costs.

## Passenger Services - growth in London / South East

Rail usage in London and the South East has increased at a quicker rate than both the general growth in commuter numbers and the growth in journeys on the publicly operated London Underground.

Growth in rail journeys versus growth in commuter numbers


Rail versus London Underground

| Journey growth |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1997-98 to 2013-14 | Journey growth |
| London Underground | $52 \%$ |
| London and South East rail | $92 \%$ |

[^2]
## Passenger Services - mode of transport to work



Source: Department for Transport Statistics, National Rail Trends

Use of national rail as the usual mode of travel to work has increased by 57\% since 2002, against a 61\% increase in total passenger journeys. Over the same period, car commuting remained broadly flat.


[^0]:    Source: National Rail Trends

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since 1997-98, the aggregate number of staff employed by train companies has increased by $31 \%$ from 39,721 to 51,975 , this is in response to passenger growth and the increase in services operated.
    ${ }^{2}$ These include fuel, train maintenance, HQ costs among others.
    Surplus generated by train operations comprises revenues generated by the train operators less those cost lines they can control (staff, rolling stock and other operating costs). It has been calculated to show the value generated by the train companies before payments are made either towards the network's infrastructure costs, their own shareholders or to/from Government. The analysis covers all train companies and concessions, including those managed by DfT, Merseytravel, Scottish Ministers, the Mayor of London and the Welsh Government.

[^2]:    Source: National Rail Trends; LUL Statutory Accounts

