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How does rail compare to aviation?

Passenger journeys per year
- Rail: 1,700 million
- Aviation: 290 million

Number of operators
- Airline operators [Heathrow only]: 90
- Passenger operators GB: 23

Put customers at the heart

Punctuality
- Rail: 85.7%
- Aviation: 79.5%

Journey satisfaction
- Rail: 81%
- 5/10 minutes: 79%
- 15 minutes: 36%

Delay compensation
- Rail: 60min 100%
- 30min: 50%
- 15min: 20%
- Air: 65%

Station or airport satisfaction
- 400: 3+ hours
- 70%

Clear accountability

The rail and aviation industries have similar vertical structures. Just as train operators do not typically own track, stations, etc., airlines do not own the airports to which they fly.

Each element of the aviation industry is accountable for its part.

Rail Industry Structure
- Rolling stock manufacturers
- Rolling stock leasing companies
- Train operators
- Infrastructure providers
- Stations
- Traffic management
- Track

Aviation Industry Structure
- Aircraft manufacturers
- Aircraft leasing companies
- Airlines
- Infrastructure providers
- Airports
- Runways
- Infrastructure providers
- Air navigation services provider

Strengthen communities

Living near a railway station has a positive impact on house prices. Living near a new Crossrail station has increased prices by 31%.

Living near an airport has a varied impact on house prices. Outside London there can be a positive impact on prices but in London it reduces values.

Deliver value for money

In 1997/98, government funding for rail was diverted from capital investment into plugging an operating loss equivalent to £2bn. Now the railway produces a £200m a year operating surplus, thanks to big increases in revenues, boosted by the huge rise in passenger numbers, part stimulated by the commercial activity of operators to encourage rail travel.

Drive economic growth

- £52bn Contribution to UK GDP
- £85bn Extra economic benefits

Inspire our people

Employment
- Rail: 240,000
- Aviation: 341,000

It takes between nine and 12 months to become a qualified train driver. It costs around £80,000 to train a driver but this is paid for by the recruiting company. Rail is introducing a National Train Driver Academy paid for by operators.

To train as an airline pilot costs between £60,000 and £90,000. And takes three to five years. Some airlines will sponsor this.

Salary
- £32,500 Average salary in aviation
- £37,500 Average salary in rail

*Oxford Economics 2014 - NB These are non-comparable statistics due to methodology used
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Whilst care has been taken with the sources and accuracy of the data provided this has not been adjusted or normalised which may mean comparisons are indicative rather than actual.
An independent study by SDG in 2016 found that comparable journeys by rail and air in the UK domestic market were not dissimilar in price, averaging €0.3 per km for rail and €0.35 for air.

---

**Average journey time for domestic journeys**

An independent study by SDG in 2016 found that domestic rail and air travel have similar speeds (factoring in waiting etc). However, the assumed waiting time at airports was low.

The model assumes a 30 minute check in and 30 minute exit time. In reality these times are longer with recommended check in of 1 hour due to security measures. These estimates also do not calculate time to city centre.

---

**Slot and path allocation**

**Air**

Slots are only allocated at airports where there is insufficient capacity for the number of aircrafts looking to land, these are called coordinated airports. In the UK these airports are: Heathrow, Gatwick, Stansted, Manchester, Luton and London City. The slots are allocated through Airport Coordination Limited (ACL) every 6 months (Summer/Winter allocations). Given the number of factors which dictate the price a company pays for a slot (time/season/location/Size of airline) and the difficulty in accessing prices paid it is impossible to determine some sort of market value for airport slots.

If airlines do not use their slots - many of which are “grandfathered” - then they lose the slot. To comply with the “use it or lose it” rule, many airlines resort to artifice—flying smaller planes than necessary in order to spread capacity across their slots, for example, and even running empty “ghost” flights to ensure that the runways are busy at the appointed time. So instead of slots being recycled from established carriers to new ones, they are clung to. One analysis showed that only 0.4% of London Heathrow’s total slots and 0.7% of Paris Charles de Gaulle’s were allocated to new entrants during the period under study.

**Rail**

Part D of the Network Code details how railway timetables are produced for the bi-annual timetable change and ad-hoc requests. Railway undertakings have certain rights of appeal in respect of decisions made by Network Rail during the timetabling process. These appeals are heard by a specialist Timetable Panel established by the Access Disputes Resolution Committee, an independent body with a majority of members elected by railway undertakings and others appointed by Network Rail. The slots given in the timetable will reflect access rights which a train operator has in its track access agreement with Network Rail (approved by the ORR). There are also “use it or lose it” provisions, which are designed to ensure that any un-used capacity is not “locked up”. However, given that the vast majority of passenger services are required by franchise agreements and freight services are reviewed from time to time, recently there has been no use of these provisions to any significant extent.
Companies across the aviation and rail industries must comply with safety regulation, competition law and consumer law. Otherwise, state intervention in the aviation sector is limited mainly to the regulation of three companies (Heathrow, Gatwick, NATS) with significant market power and the development of an overall government strategy for the development of airport capacity in the south east of England. By contrast, the government contracts for most passenger train services via franchises. The government also builds/purchases infrastructure and purchases trains directly. ORR’s role as regulator covers all infrastructure and train service providers. The government also takes on responsibility for devising and delivering a strategic vision for the rail industry.

**Aviation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of companies</th>
<th>Central government body</th>
<th>Public corporation</th>
<th>Private sector</th>
<th>Monopoly activity</th>
<th>Competition activity</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airlines</td>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>NB: domestic travel market only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air navigation services provider</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>NATS is 49% government owned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft leasing companies</td>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft manufacturers</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Companies in the aviation sector are almost all privately owned. The exceptions are:

a) A small number of airports that are owned by local authorities.
b) The government’s minority stake in the National Air Traffic Services (NATS)

There is more public ownership in the rail industry, notably via government ownership of Network Rail.

There is only one pure monopoly in the aviation sector – i.e. NATS. All other companies face competition.

There is much less choice for companies and passengers in the rail industry, partly due to problems of natural monopoly (e.g. in infrastructure) and partly due to policy decisions.