

Association of Train Operating Companies

Issue 1 – November 2014

Prepared by

Supply Chain Collaboration Group

Additionally endorsed by the following companies:

Angel Trains

Eversholt Rail Group

Porterbrook Leasing

Authorised by

Guidance Note - Inter Company Component Change Contract Variation (CV) Approval Process

Synopsis

This Guidance Note (GN) details the industry approach for effective and efficient component change Contract Variation (CV) approval, particularly for CVs affecting multiple stakeholders.

ATOC/EC/GN/001 will be integrated into ACOP/EC/01006 during its next update.

Ben Ackroyd - Chair, Supply Chain Forum

ATOC Guidance Note

ATOC/EC/GN/001

Guidance Note – Inter Company Component Change Contract Variation (CV) Approval Process

Contents

	Section	Description	Page
Part A			
		Issue Record	3
		Responsibilities	3
		Compliance	3
		Health and Safety Responsibilities	3
		Code of Practice Status	3
		Supply	3
Part B			
	1	Purpose	4
	2	Background	4
	3	Scope	4
	4	The component change CV approval process	5
	5	Definitions	8
	6	Abbreviations	9
		Appendix A – CV Process Framework	10

Guidance Note – Inter Company Component Change Contract Variation (CV) Approval Process

	Part /	4							
Issue Record	This Guidance Note will be integrated into ACOP/EC/01006 during the next update. Amended or additional parts of revised pages will be marked by a vertical black line in the adjacent margin.								
	lssue 1	Date 14/11/2014	Comments Previously issued as SCF/GN/001 Issue 1 July 2013						
Explanatory Note		•	has been produced in consultation with a sionals, including the supply base, TOCs and						
		•	isseminated within the railway industry.						
	manda evaluat respon contair	tory standard. This ted and implemente sibility of the user. ned in the releva	egulatory body and this publication is not a publication is advisory only and must be d as appropriate at the sole discretion and Any potentially conflicting arrangements nt train lease agreements should take sses described in this technical publication.						
	•	sibility of ensuring	e for its own operation and carries full safety of its own systems of work and						
	practic own re	e, users must evalu quirements in a stru	Notes are intended to disseminate best ate this technical publication against their ctured and systematic way. Some parts may propriate at the user's discretion.						
Guidance Note Status			nded to create legally binding obligations mpanies or their suppliers.						
Supply			ed copies of this Guidance Note may be rector of Operations & Engineering.						

Guidance Note – Inter Company Component Change Contract Variation (CV) Approval Process

Part B

1. Purpose

The purpose of this document is to propose a process framework for industry-wide consultation leading to effective inter- company component change Contract Variation (CV's) approval and implementation by relevant parties. This process is intended to be used where engineering change to a component design (including hardware and software configuration), and/or any applicable specification, part number and drawing impacts on multiple stakeholders.

This document should be used in conjunction with ACOP/EC/01006 which covers Engineering Change and is implicitly linked to CV approval; for this reason ATOC/EC/GN/001 will be fully integrated into ACOP/EC/01006 during its next review.

2. Background

A proposed component change may be initiated by a Supplier, TOC or ROSCO where supply of a component or related service is via existing contracts between two or more parties, and, with a contract change to be formally recognised through use of a Contract Variation (CV) process. However, delays often occur with the approval and implementation of component change CVs; this can result in CVs with excessive time to implement, or never being implemented at all. The problem is amplified when multiple supply chain stakeholders (i.e. TOCs, ROSCOs or Suppliers) are required to assess and approve the technical impact of CV, because it is often necessary to seek unanimous agreement from all stakeholders via lengthy approval routes before a CV can be implemented e.g. when changing the configuration of common user material. This situation can cause significant frustration for stakeholders who have approved and are eager to proceed with a CV, but cannot do so without all relevant stakeholder approval.

Reasons for delays with CV approval are varied, but include; (i) extended or unclear approval routes between multiple supply-chain stakeholders, (ii) approvers being provided with inadequate technical information or justification to be able to complete their own approval, (iii) commercial / contractual barriers and (iv) a lack of consensus between multiple approvers, (v) extending consultation to potential or non contracted users.

In summary, the common component users within the rail industry are urged to be more effective and efficient with the approval and implementation of component related Contract Variations (CVs) as the existing approach can be lengthy and complex; this is especially relevant for CVs of a technical nature where there is potential to affect the reliability or safety of rail vehicles if their implementation is inhibited.

3. Scope

Guidance Note – Inter Company Component Change Contract Variation (CV) Approval Process

This document outlines a successful CV framework process with multiple stakeholders for contracts directly linked to the component supply chain between inter-companies i.e. TOCs, ROSCOs and Suppliers, and which are for the supply of component parts and/or related services. It sets out the basic steps from initial inception through to approval and implementation, including appropriate routes to delivery with levels of decision points to proceed.

4. The inter-company component change CV approval process

4.1 The way forward

The key factor of CV approval is the 'TOC/ROSCO/Supplier CV approval triangle', illustrated in Figure 1.

CV proposals for a component related change can be initiated by any stakeholder from any side of the triangle; normally the CV proposal will then affect stakeholders from both of the other sides of the triangle, either directly contractually or indirectly as an owner or user. CVs also have the potential to be relevant to other stakeholders on the initiating side of the triangle; an example would be a cross-ROSCO specification change which affects multiple ROSCOs, multiple TOCs and multiple Suppliers.

The formal CV approval route needs to respect the contractual supply chain arrangements in place; however a downside to this is excessive approval timescales due to the series nature of the contractual supply chain. The CV approval process outlined in Appendix A seeks to shorten approval timescales by encouraging upfront informal involvement of all stakeholders to evaluate the content of CV proposals, whilst fully respecting contractual boundaries.

An onus exists on the initiating party of a CV to act as a Champion and to have the systems in place to monitor, manage and track the approval and implementation status of the CV and to make the non-commercial aspect of CV approval status regularly visible to all stakeholders directly affected by the CV; this visibility will assist in keeping a focus on CV approval.

The responsibility assignment matrix (RACI) for the component change CV process is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1 – Inter-company TOC/ROSCO/Supplier CV Approval Triangle

ATOC Guidance Note

ATOC/EC/GN/001

Guidance Note – Inter Company Component Change Contract Variation (CV) Approval Process

Component change CV process	Champion (Supplier or TOC or ROSCO)	Component Supplier	Component sub supplier	TOC	Rosco	ndependent 3rd party reviewer										
Initiation of component change CV request process	ن A,R	ŏ	ŏ	¥	ž	Ē										
Informal feasibility stage to clarify component change CV scope with relevant stakeholders	A,R	с	С	С	С											
Production and distribution of component change CV pack to justify the request	A,R						Key to RACI matrix									
Review of component change CV request by stakeholders		R	R	R	R		A = Accountable	The com	pany		accour			ops" - t activity		
Independent 3rd party review of component change for generic change on behalf of relevant stakeholders, if required	A,R	с	С	С	С	R	R = Responsible	The	stake	holde	r doinc	, the re	quired	l work.		
Securing Direct Replacement Component Certificate, where relevant, and the provision of supporting approval justification.	A,R	с	С	С	С		C = Consult	Othe	er sta	keholo		ho mus	st be c	consult	ed bef	0
Securing approval of component change CV by relevant stakeholders	A,R	С	С	С	С		I = Inform				racted actions			s who r ed	nust b	e
Commence and update a CV approvals status tracker	A,R															
Briefing of contracted stakeholders re change implementation strategy	A,R	С	С	С	С											
Advise to non contracted stakeholders re component change CV implementation	A,R	I	I	ı	I											
Implementation of configuration control changes	A,R	T	T	Т	T											
Stakeholders address internal strategy for change		R	R	R	R											

Figure 2 – RACI matrix for the component change CV approval process

Guidance Note – Inter Company Component Change Contract Variation (CV) Approval Process

4.2 Proposed inter-company CV approval process framework

The inter-company component change CV approval process framework is defined in Appendix A.

Inevitably this framework will never cover every eventuality, but aims to address the key following points:-

- Formalisation of an inter company approach for supply change CV approval
- Defining the change, identifying all stakeholders, agreeing the scope of change, securing outline agreement to the change
- Definition of a three-stage approach; Initiation > Approval > Implementation
- Inclusion of all directly contracted stakeholders in the CV approval process who are impacted by the proposed component related change – recognition of the 'TOC/ROSCO/Supplier approval triangle'
- Shorter approval timescales due to a clearer approval process, as well as encouraging upfront informal involvement of all stakeholders in the evaluation of CV proposals
- 'Fast track' approval (by exception) of safety or high impact CVs, by offering a means for all stakeholders to prioritise these, including the use of no less than 60 day time-limited response deadlines where necessary
- An objective to minimise approval timescales by adopting parallel approval routes where possible, rather than defaulting to serial approval routes within lengthy or complex supply chains
- An objective to minimise stakeholder duplication of engineering review requirements for CV proposals, mainly by ensuring that the Champion provides adequate technical justification to support a proposed change
- Recognition of situations when changes to an individual component also result in associated change where the component is also a child item of a larger assembly e.g. a damper also forming part of a bogie and thus the requirement to extend the change to cover all associated documentation, where relevant.
- Recognition that each stakeholder has their own change approval process requirements for agreeing CVs
- Clarification of the DRCC (Direct Replacement Component Certification) scenario including mutual acceptance and DRCC signatories
- Relevance to ACOP/EC/01006 (Industry Engineering Change Process)
- An escalation process where there is failure to agree, or failure to engage

5 Definitions

The following definitions are used in this document.

• Champion: the company which is leading the supply change requirement. Any stakeholder or company throughout the supply chain (TOC, ROSCO, Supplier).

Guidance Note – Inter Company Component Change Contract Variation (CV) Approval Process

- Contract Variation: any change to a contract agreed between the parties.
- Implementer: the Champion or alternatively an organisation leading the approval such as a lead supplier.

6 Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this document

ATOC	Association of Train Operating Companies
ACOP	Approved Code of Practice
CV	Contract Variation
DRCC	Direct Replacement Component Certificate
RACI	Responsibility Assignment Matrix
ROSCO	Rolling Stock Leasing Company
ТОС	Train Operating Company

Appendix A – CV Process Framework



Not : : CV requests will identify whether approval is urgent i.e. for safety related matters or high impact failure . In urgent situations all stakeholders are obliged to process their aspect of the CV without delay A limited response may also be reasonably requested.

Additional CV(s) are also required if the change of an individual component also results in change to a larger assembly that the component forms a part of e.g. a damper also forming part of a

-contracte stakeholder / infrequent users of intent to implement from a specific date